UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,055	02/03/2004	Reinhard Heinrich Hohensee 15	5962.0006USD1/IBMN.004US 1532		
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 03/29/201 & GOULD PC	1	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903			KIM, PAUL		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2169		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/29/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/771,055	HOHENSEE ET A	HOHENSEE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		PAUL KIM	2169				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)  ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ja	nuary 2011					
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	·		.,				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🛛	P) Claim(s) <u>27-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) 🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/771,055 Page 2

Art Unit: 2169

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is responsive to the following communication: Amendment filed on 20 January 2011.

2. Claims 27-32 are pending and present for examination. Claims 27 and 32 are in independent form.

## Response to Amendment

- 3. No claims have been amended.
- 4. Claims 1, 5-7, 9-11, 13-17, and 26 have been further cancelled.
- 5. Claims 27-32 have been added.

# Claim Objections

6. **Claim 29** is objected to because of the following informalities: the instant claim ends in a semicolon. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 8. **Claims 27-32** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,055 Page 3

Art Unit: 2169

9. **As per claims 27-32,** the Examiner notes that the functional terms "downloading" and "capturing" are analogous in functionality within the art. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In the instant case, wherein the downloading of an object would result in the capturing of said object, it is noted that said functionalities of both "downloading" and "capturing" are indistinguishable. Accordingly, the Examiner notes that wherein the downloading of an object and the capturing of said object are not distinguishable, it is unclear as to how an object may be downloaded yet not captured. Accordingly, this limitation presents a functional conflict which precludes the enablement of the instant invention. Therefore, prior art has not be applied to the instant limitation for the purposes of examination.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 11. **Claim 27** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner notes that it is unclear as to distinguishable features implicitly and/or explicitly referenced by "a resident object." Further clarification is required as to the location of "a resident object."
- 12. **Claim 28** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, lines 6 and 8 recite "a resident globally-unique network OID." It is unclear whether these are to be the same as or different from each other.

Additionally, lines 4-5 recite "a globally-unique network OID." It is unclear whether these are to be the same as or different from each other.

13. **Claim 29** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,055

Art Unit: 2169

Specifically, lines 3-4 recite "a resident globally-unique network OID." It is unclear whether these are to be the same as or different from each other.

Page 4

14. **Claim 30** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, lines 3-4 recite "a resident globally-unique network OID." It is unclear whether these are to be the same as or different from each other.

15. **Claim 31** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, line 4 recites "capturing the object by OID when the OID." It is unclear whether these are to be the same as or different from the prior references to "the globally-unique network OID" recited within the instant claim.

Additionally, the instant claim recites the term "MDR". It is unclear and indefinite as to what the aforementioned term "MDR" is to represent. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

16. Claims 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 27-32 are directed to a method for processing referenced objects and fail to (1) be tied to another statutory class or (2) transform underlying subject matter to a different state or thing. Accordingly, the instant claims would not qualify as a statutory process since said claims would be directed to purely mental steps. To qualify under 35 U.S.C. 101 as a statutory process, the claim should positively recite the other statutory class (the thing or product) to which it is tied or positively recite the subject matter that is being transformed.

## Response to Arguments

17. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,055 Page 5

Art Unit: 2169

18. The Examiner further notes that the absence of a prior art application in the instant case should not be construed as the instant claims being allowable over the prior art. It is noted that the instant claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 and 35 U.S.C. 101 should be addressed so as to provide a representation of an enabled invention.

### Conclusion

19. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL KIM whose telephone number is (571)272-2737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Tony Mahmoudi can be reached on (571) 272-4078. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2169

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Paul Kim/

Paul Kim Examiner, Art Unit 2169

/PK/